Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/581,772	O'HAGAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Zachariah Lucas	1648
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Zachariah Lucas.	(3)	
(2) <u>David B. Bonham</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 13,14,46,47,56,69-71,77,78,91		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner request permission to amend the indicated claims by Examiner's Amendment such that they are in proper multiple dependant format. The Applicant agreed to the changes.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	vappiicani s representative Si	unature – ir appropriate)